

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

(

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,588	04/30/2001	Xiaohui Wang	82295WFN	3243
7	590 03/13/2003			
Thomas H. Close			EXAMINER	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			KAO, CHIH CHENG G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/845,588	WANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE Sabin communication and	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 D	<u> Pecember 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊡ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	=x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/845,588

Art Unit: 2882

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toth et al. (US Patent 5982846) in view of Grass et al. (US Patent 6282256).

Toth et al. discloses a method of acquiring an image (figs. 1 and 2) comprising positioning an elongated human body part (fig. 1, #22) between an x-ray source (fig. 2, #14) and a digital image large area capture device (fig. 2, #18, 32, 34, and 36) having a known imaging dimension less than a like dimension of the elongated object (fig. 1, #22, and fig. 2, #18) with adjusting the aperture of a collimator between the source and object (fig. 7a, #52), wherein the source is rotated about an axis coincident with the focal spot of the source (fig. 3, #50), and wherein the distance of the focal spot is far less than the distance of the source to the image capture device (fig. 3, #14 and 50).

However, Toth et al. does not disclose moving the device in a direction parallel to the known imaging dimension of the stationary object to sequential contiguous positions to acquire a sequence of images and rotating the source about a first axis perpendicular to the direction of moving of the device in coordination to project x-rays from the source to the device.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,588

Art Unit: 2882

Grass et al. teaches moving the device in a direction parallel to the known imaging dimension of the stationary object to sequential contiguous positions to acquire a sequence of images and rotating the source about a first axis perpendicular to the direction of moving of the device in coordination to project x-rays from the source to the device (fig. 7, and col. 9, lines 55-65).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the rotating of the source, moving of the device, and stationary object to acquire images of Grass et al. with the method of Toth et al., since one would be motivated to use the methods of Grass et al. to create a helical scan (col. 9, lines 55-65) which would not need to reconstruct voxels which are present in the radiation beam at the beginning or at the end of the relative motion (col. 1, lines 48-55) as impled from Grass et al.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2882

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (703) 605-5298. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th (8 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

March 6, 2003

RONERT H. KIM
SUPERMOONY PATENT FRAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800